

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,326	10/627,326 07/25/2003		Rolf Mintgen	5253-25	2283
27799	7590	07/26/2005	•	EXAMINER	
COHEN,	PONTAN	II, LIEBERMAN &	SICONOLFI, ROBERT		
551 FIFTI	H AVENUE	Ξ			
SUITE 12	10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, NY	10176	3683		

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/627,326	MINTGEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2005.						
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
Disposition of Claims							
5)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

M

Application/Control Number: 10/627,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed on has been received.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/22/04.
- 3. Claim 6 has been amended to require both a cup-type compression spring and a helical spring. As best that it can be determined by the examiner, this combination is only present in the embodiment presented in figure 15. As such, the claim contains limitations directed to another embodiment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 ,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz.

Lutz discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/627,326

Art Unit: 3683

See figure 3 piston 2,3, piston rod 1', seal 16, first and second valves spring biased valves on the top right and bottom left, first and second non-return valves on the top left and bottom right, equalizing space 26, equalizing element 27

Lutz figure 3 does not disclose having the volume equalizing element mounted on the piston. Lutz figure 1 teaches having the volume equalizing element mounted on the piston (equalizing space 10, equalizing element 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having the volume equalizing element mounted on the piston in the embodiment of figure 3 of Lutz as it eliminates the need to have a hollow piston rod and a separate housing above the piston cylinder unit..

- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz in view of Hoffman et al (U. S. Patent no. 3,105,574).
- Lutz is relied upon as above. Lutz does not disclose the use of a slide valve. Hoffman et al teaches the equivalence of slide valves and seat valves (see figures 5 and 6 slide valve 17, seat valve 117). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a slide valve in the device of Lutz as such is merely a design choice.
- 7. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lutz in view of Herner (U. S. Patent no. 5,224,413).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,326

Art Unit: 3683

Lutz is relied upon as above. Lutz does not disclose the use of an annular seal as a non-return valve. Herner teaches the use of a seal as a valve (seal 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the annular seal as a non-return valve as lip seals are a well known and commonly used and it is merely a design choice.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 8,10,18,19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

7/21/05

Application/Control Number: 10/627,326

Art Unit: 3683

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconølf Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683